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The photoelectron spectrum (PES) of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ has received a great deal of attention; see Green et al. ${ }^{1}$ It consists of five bands, assigned as $1 \mathrm{t}_{1}>3 \mathrm{t}_{2}>2 \mathrm{a}_{1}>2 \mathrm{t}_{2}>\mathrm{le}$, in agreement with the quasirelativistic pseudopotential (PP) calculation in ref 1 .
The puzzling feature was the apparent 0.4 eV spin-orbit (SO) splitting of the penultimate, $3 t_{2}, \mathrm{MO}$, with an intensity ratio of 2:1 or $\Gamma_{8}>\Gamma_{7}\left(u^{\prime}>e^{\prime \prime}\right)$, corresponding to an Os p AO and opposite to the 1:2 ratio, $\Gamma_{7}>\Gamma_{8}$, for an Os dAO, predicted for $2 \mathrm{t}_{2}$. The calculated pseudopotential valence 6 p character of 4.6 percent in the $3 t_{2}$ and the atomic 6 p SO splitting of about 0.93 eV were too small to explain the observed 0.4 eV .

We here consider the possibility that the observed splitting would be due to hybridization with the 5 p semicore AO. An analogous 6 p semicore participation is known to cause the $2: 1 \mathrm{SO}$ splitting of the $4 \mathrm{t}_{1 \mathrm{l}} \mathrm{HOMO}$ of $\mathrm{UF}_{6} .{ }^{2}$ The $\mathrm{Os} 5 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{U} 6 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ radii are 1.211 and $1.897 \mathrm{au}^{3}$ while the $\mathrm{Os}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{F}$ distances are 3.233 and 3.772 au, respectively.
In order to verify this hypothesis, we performed the HF-level Gaussian 90 calculation for $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ with both the small ${ }^{4}$ and the large valence-space ${ }^{5}$ PP of Hay and Wadt. We also report fully relativistic Dirac-Slater discrete-variational method (DS-DVM) results. ${ }^{6}$ It should be noted that the $3 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ and $2 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ SO splittings were already successfully reproduced by SO -perturbed quasirelativistic multiple-scattering ( $\mathrm{QR}-\mathrm{MS}+\mathrm{SO}$ ) calculations by Topol' et al. ${ }^{7}$ Fully relativistic MS results are being reported by Arratia-Perez. ${ }^{8}$
The valence orbital energies are shown in Figure 1. The SO splittings are given in Table I, and the orbital characters, both a Mulliken population and the diagonal $c_{i}^{2}$, in Table II.
The present DS-DVM splitting agrees well with experiment and with the QR-MS + SO ones. The $3 \mathrm{t}_{2} 5 \mathrm{p}$ and 6 p Mulliken populations are too small to give the observed SO splittings but the diagonal DVM $c_{i}^{2}$, multiplied with the atomic splitting, ${ }^{3}$ would give $0.043 \times 12.8=0.55 \mathrm{eV}$. The large- $\mathrm{PP} c_{i}^{2}$ is comparable with the DVM one.

[^0]

Figure 1. Experimental and calculated valence orbital energies (eV) of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$.

Table I. SO Splittings (eV)

| method | $2 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ | $3 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| expl $^{a}$ |  | 0.40 |
| DS-DVM | 0.26 | 0.41 |
| QR-MS + SO |  |  |
| DS-MS | 0.24 | 0.42 |
| REX | 0.34 | 0.32 |
|  | 0.07 | 0.12 |

${ }^{a}$ Reference $1 .{ }^{b}$ Reference 7. ${ }^{c}$ Reference 8 b.
Table II. SO-averaged Os character in valence $t_{2}$ MO's

| MO | method | 5 p | 6p | 5d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ | DS-DVM ${ }^{a}$ | 0.000 | 0.024 | 0.429 |
|  | QR-MS + S ${ }^{\text {a,c }}$ |  | 0.006 | 0.367 |
|  | large PPa | 0.000 | 0.013 | 0.419 |
| $3 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ | DS-DVM ${ }^{a}$ | 0.003 | 0.025 | -0.003 |
|  | DS-DVM ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.043 | 0.010 | 0.004 |
|  | QR-MS + SO ${ }^{\text {a.c }}$ |  | 0.077 | 0.000 |
|  | large-PP ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.004 | 0.009 | 0.021 |
|  | large-PP ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.053 | 0.002 | 0.033 |
|  | REX ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.004 | 0.079 | 0.203 |
|  | REX $^{\text {b }}$ | 0.018 | 0.049 | 0.396 |

We also tested the small and large PP of ref 9 . Here the $5 p / 6 p$ separation appears to be less clear. The $5 p c_{i}^{2}$ in $3 t_{2}$ becomes 0.085 .

A third, or actually our first, way was to use the relativistic extended Hückel (REX) method. ${ }^{10}$ With the parameters ${ }^{11}$ it gives the MO order $3 t_{2}>1 t_{1}>2 a_{1}>2 t_{2}>1 e\left(t_{2}\right.$ "pushing from below") with a 0.12 eV splitting of $3 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ in the correct order, $\Gamma_{8}$ $>\Gamma_{7}$. The diagonal 5 p character in $3 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ is 0.017 .

In these three methods (DVM, PP, REX), the $3 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ SO splitting is thus obtained by hybridization with the deep-lying, semicore $5 p$ AO, as suspected. In the MS methods, the numerical valence " 6 p " component is variationally optimized and the same physics is obtained without explicit 5 p character. Indeed, the MS valence p AOs can be much more contracted than the free-atom ones. ${ }^{12}$

[^1]The SO splitting of the $2 \mathrm{t}_{2}$ has $\Gamma_{7}>\Gamma_{8}$ and can be directly related to the 5 d character; e.g., $0.429 \times 1.05=0.45 \mathrm{eV}$.

Concomitantly, a hole is introduced to the 5 pAO. As this AO has an $\left\langle r^{-3}\right\rangle$ of $137.6 \mathrm{au}^{3}$, the Os nuclear quadrupole coupling may give in asymmetrical osmyl compounds further evidence, as suggested for the actinyl compounds. ${ }^{13,14}$
(13) Larsson, S.; Pyykkö, P. Chem. Phys. 1986, 101, 355.
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